

Quantum ferromagnetic transition in disordered itinerant electron systems

D.Belitz

Department of Physics and Materials Science Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

T.R.Kirkpatrick

*Institute for Physical Science and Technology, and Department of Physics
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742*

(February 1, 2008)

An effective field theory is derived for the ferromagnetic transition of diffusive electrons at $T = 0$. The static disorder which leads to diffusive electron dynamics induces an effective long-range interaction between the spins of the form $1/r^{2d-2}$. This leads to unusual scaling behavior at the quantum critical point, which is determined exactly. The crossover from this quantum fixed point to the classical Heisenberg fixed point should be observable in ferromagnetic materials with low Curie temperatures.

PACS numbers: 64.60.Ak , 75.10.Jm , 75.40.Cx

Quantum phase transitions have attracted substantial interest in recent years. They occur at zero temperature ($T = 0$) as a function of some non-thermal control parameter, and the relevant fluctuations are of quantum rather than of thermal nature. If the quantum phase transition has a classical analogue at finite temperature, then the former tends to be simpler than the latter in the physical dimension $d = 3$. For instance, the ferromagnetic-to-paramagnetic transition of itinerant electrons at $T = 0$ as a function of the exchange interaction strength Γ_t , has a mean-field like critical behavior in $d = 3$ [1], since the coupling of statics and dynamics that is inherent to quantum statistics problems effectively increases the dimensionality of the system from d to $d + z$ with z the dynamical critical exponent. In the case of clean quantum ferromagnets, $z = 3$, which reduces the upper critical dimension from $d_c^+ = 4$ in the classical case to $d_c^+ = 1$ [1].

If one adds quenched disorder in the form of static, nonmagnetic scatterers to the problem, then the resulting diffusive dynamics of the electrons changes the dynamical exponent z . Hertz [1] has proposed that $z = 4$ in the disordered case, which would imply that $d_c^+ = 0$, that the critical behavior is otherwise unchanged, and that in particular the correlation length exponent $\nu = 1/2$ for all $d > 0$. It is now known that this cannot be true for $d < 4$, since $\nu = 1/2$ would violate the Harris criterion, which states that any fixed point (FP) with $\nu < 2/d$ is unstable with respect to quenched disorder [2,3]. In this Letter we show that Hertz's proposition is true only for $d > 6$, while for $2 < d < 6$ the disordered itinerant quantum ferromagnet shows nontrivial critical behavior which we determine exactly. The critical behavior is dominated by the strong static correlations that are induced by the disorder, not by the quantum fluctuations. Indeed, we find $z = d$ for $2 < d < 4$, which leads to $d_c^+ = 2$, so that we work above the upper critical dimension. However, the disorder leads to additional soft modes that lead to an effective long-range interaction between the spins, and that are responsible for the nontrivial critical behavior.

Our results can be summarized as follows. For the correlation length exponent ν , the order parameter susceptibility exponent η , and the dynamical exponent z , we find for $2 < d < 4$,

$$\nu = 1/(d-2) \quad , \quad \eta = 4-d \quad , \quad z = d \quad , \quad (1)$$

and $\nu = 1/2$, $\eta = 0$, $z = 4$ for $d > 4$. These exponents 'lock into' mean-field values at $d = 4$, but have nontrivial values for $d < 4$. The magnetization, m , at $T = 0$ in a magnetic field H is given by the equation of state

$$t m + v m^{d/2} + u m^3 = H \quad , \quad (2a)$$

where t is the dimensionless distance from the critical point, and u and v are finite numbers. From Eq. (2a) one obtains the critical exponents β and δ , defined by $m \sim t^\beta$ and $m \sim H^{1/\delta}$, respectively, at $T = 0$, as

$$\beta = 2/(d-2) \quad , \quad \delta = d/2 \quad , \quad (2b)$$

for $2 < d < 6$, and $\beta = 1/2$, $\delta = 3$ for $d > 6$. These exponents 'lock into' their mean-field values only at $d = 6$. Equation (2a) applies strictly to $T = 0$, so t can be changed by changing the disorder or Γ_t , but *not* the temperature. At finite temperature, we find that m obeys a homogeneity law,

$$m(t, T, H) = b^{-\beta/\nu} m(t b^{1/\nu}, T b^{\phi/\nu}, H b^{\delta\beta/\nu}) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where b is an arbitrary scale factor. $\phi = 2\nu$ for all $d > 2$ is a crossover exponent that describes the crossover from the quantum FP to the classical Heisenberg FP. The behavior of the magnetic susceptibility, χ_m , follows from Eq. (3) by differentiation with respect to H . In particular, the exponent γ , defined by $\chi_m \sim t^{-\gamma}$ at $T = H = 0$, is $\gamma = \beta(\delta - 1) = 1$ for all $d > 2$. Notice that the temperature dependence of the magnetization is *not* given by the dynamical exponent. z controls the temperature dependence of the specific heat coefficient, $\gamma_V = c_V/T$, however, which has a scale dimension of zero for all d , and logarithmic corrections to scaling for all $d < 4$,

$$\gamma_V(t, T, H) = \Theta(4 - d) \ln b + \gamma_V(t b^{1/\nu}, T b^z, H b^{\delta\beta/\nu}) \quad . \quad (4)$$

Equations (1) - (4) represent the exact critical behavior of disordered itinerant quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets in all dimensions $d > 2$ with the exception of $d = 4$. There are several remarkable aspects of these results. First, we are able to obtain the critical behavior in $d = 3$ exactly, yet it is not mean-field like. The exactness is due to the fact that we work above the upper critical dimension $d_c^+ = 2$. The nontrivial exponents are due to the presence of noncritical soft modes, or spectator modes, which lead, e.g., to the unusual term $\sim v$ in Eq. (2a). These points will become clearer in connection with Eqs. (7), and (8) below. Another point of interest is the appearance of a logarithmic correction to scaling in Eq. (4). In a renormalization group context, logarithmic anomalies can arise either due to marginal operators, or if a set of scale dimensions fulfills some resonance condition [4]. In the present case the logarithm can be traced to the condition $z = d$, which is fulfilled *for all* $2 < d < 4$. The behavior in $d = 4$ is more complicated. [5].

The above values for the critical exponents β and δ are very different from those for classical Heisenberg magnets [6]. Consequently, we expect a pronounced crossover from quantum to classical critical behavior as the ferromagnetic transition is approached at low temperatures. Our results can be experimentally checked in alloys such as Ni-Al or Ni-Ga [7]. Especially the small value of $\delta = 3/2$ in $d = 3$ should be a prominent feature that could be observed for a fixed alloy composition as a function of magnetic field. A more thorough discussion of experimental aspects of our theory, and a complete account of technical details, will be given in Ref. [5].

In the remainder of this paper we sketch the derivation of these results. Let us consider a d -dimensional system ($d > 2$) of interacting electrons in the presence of nonmagnetic quenched disorder. We keep the disorder strength sufficiently small for the system to be metallic [8]. With increasing strength of the exchange interaction this system undergoes a phase transition from a paramagnetic metal to a ferromagnetic metal. At $T = 0$ this is the quantum phase transition we are concerned with. At any $T > 0$ the asymptotic critical behavior is governed by a classical FP, but at low temperatures there still exists a sizeable quantum critical region that is controlled by the zero temperature FP. We proceed to derive an order parameter description of the quantum phase transition, along the lines of Ref. [1]. To this end, it is convenient to split off from the action the particle-hole spin-triplet channel, whose coupling constant we denote by Γ_t . This four-fermion interaction term we decouple by means of a Hubbard-Stratonovich (H-S) transformation with a H-S field $M(q)$. All degrees of freedom other than $\mathbf{M}$ are then integrated out. This procedure in particular integrates out diffusive modes in the spin-triplet channel. These are the soft ‘spectator’ modes, which have important consequences as we will see. We obtain the partition function Z in the form $Z = e^{-F_0/T} \int D[\mathbf{M}] \exp[-\Phi[\mathbf{M}]]$, with F_0 the noncritical part of the free energy. A formal expansion of the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) functional Φ in powers of M takes the form [1],

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[\mathbf{M}] = \int_q \mathbf{M}(q) [1 - \Gamma_t \chi^{(2)}(q)] \mathbf{M}(-q) + \frac{1}{V} \int_{q_1, q_2, q_3} \chi^{(4)}(q_1, q_2, q_3) (\mathbf{M}(q_1) \cdot \mathbf{M}(q_2)) \\ \times (\mathbf{M}(q_3) \cdot \mathbf{M}(-q_1 - q_2 - q_3)) - \sqrt{V} H M_z(q = 0) . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here we are using a four-vector notation where $q = (\mathbf{q}, \omega_n)$ comprises both a wavevector and a Matsubara frequency, and $\int_q = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} T \sum_n$. V is the system volume, H denotes a magnetic field in z-direction. For notational simplicity we suppress the replica labels that are needed to carry out the disorder average [5]. $\chi^{(2)}$ and $\chi^{(4)}$ are disorder averaged correlation functions of a reference system of disordered electrons that has $\Gamma_t = 0$ [1]. More generally, the coefficient of the term of order $\mathbf{M}^n$ in the LGW functional is given in terms of an n -point spin-density correlation function in the reference system, and in writing Eq. (5) we have left out terms which can be shown to be irrelevant for the critical behavior [5]. We will come back to these omitted terms in the end. The particle-hole spin-triplet interaction Γ_t is missing in the bare reference system, but it is generated by perturbation theory already at the one-loop level. The reference system then has all of the characteristics of the full system, except that it must not undergo a phase transition lest the separation of modes that is implicit in our singling out the spin-triplet channel for the H-S decoupling procedure break down.

$\chi^{(2)}(q)$ in Eq. (5) is the spin susceptibility of the reference system. At small Matsubara frequency and wavenumber it has the characteristic diffusive form [9],

$$\chi^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}, \omega_n) = \chi_0(\mathbf{q})[1 - |\omega_n|/D\mathbf{q}^2] \quad , \quad (6)$$

where D is the spin diffusion constant of the reference system, and $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$ is its static spin susceptibility. At $T = 0$, the latter can be shown to be a nonanalytic function of $\mathbf{q}$ of the form $\chi_0(\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) \sim \text{const} - |\mathbf{q}|^{d-2} - \mathbf{q}^2$, where we have omitted irrelevant prefactors [10]. Choosing suitable units, the Gaussian part of Φ can then be written,

$$\Phi^{(2)}[\mathbf{M}] = \int_q \mathbf{M}(q) [t_0 + c_n |\mathbf{q}|^{d-2} + c_a \mathbf{q}^2 + c_d |\omega_n|/\mathbf{q}^2] \mathbf{M}(-q) \quad , \quad (7)$$

where $t_0 = 1 - \Gamma_t \chi^{(2)}(\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0, \omega_n = 0)$ is the bare distance from the critical point, and c_n , c_a and c_d are constants.

The coefficient $\chi^{(4)}$ in Eq. (5), contrary to the case of a usual LGW functional, is in general not finite at zero frequencies and wavenumbers. $\chi^{(4)}$ is a nonlinear susceptibility in the reference ensemble. Such a quantity one expects to be divergent, given the singularity in the linear susceptibility $\chi_0(\mathbf{q})$. Indeed, standard perturbation theory shows [5] that it is given schematically by

$$\chi^{(4)} \sim \text{const} + v \int_k [\mathbf{k}^2 + |\omega_n|]^{-4} \sim u + v |\mathbf{p}|^{d-6} \quad . \quad (8)$$

Here u and v are finite numbers, and we have cut off the singularity by means of a wavenumber $|\mathbf{p}|$. The physical interpretation of this cutoff will be dealt with below. More generally, the coefficient of $|\mathbf{M}|^{2n}$ in Φ behaves like $\chi^{(2n)} \sim |\mathbf{p}|^{d+2-4n}$. This implies that Φ contains a nonanalyticity which in our expansion takes the form of a power series in $|\mathbf{M}|^2/|\mathbf{p}|^4$. The nonanalytic structure of the correlation functions $\chi^{(2n)}$ is a consequence of the diffusive spectrator modes which we have integrated out. Therefore the $\mathbf{M}$ -field theory, rather than having a simple LGW form, is strongly nonlocal, which leads to unusual scaling behavior.

The functional Φ can be analyzed by using standard techniques [6]. We look for a FP where c_d and either c_n (for $2 < d < 4$), or c_a (for $d > 4$) are not renormalized. This fixes the critical exponents η and z . Power counting then reveals that both u and v are irrelevant. Several features of the critical behavior follow immediately from this observation. The critical exponents η and z are fixed by the choice of our FP, and ν and γ can be obtained by considering the $\mathbf{q}$ -dependence of the Gaussian vertex, Eq. (7). We can thus read Eq. (1) and the value of the exponent γ directly off Eq. (7).

We determine the equation of state by taking the term of order $|\mathbf{M}|^4$ in Φ into account. To this end, we need to identify the scaling behavior of the cutoff wavenumber $\mathbf{p}$. Since $\mathbf{p}$ cuts off a divergence stemming from an integration over products of diffusion poles that is rendered finite by spin-flip processes, in the presence of an external magnetic field B one would identify $\mathbf{p}^2$ with B . Since a nonzero magnetization acts physically like a magnetic field, it is therefore plausible that in the present context, and for scaling purposes, $\mathbf{p}$ can be replaced by $m^{1/2}$. We have confirmed this conclusion by means of a more technical analysis [5]. The effective equation of state can therefore be written in the form of Eq. (2a).

These results completely specify the critical behavior at $T = 0$. Their most interesting aspect is the nontrivial exponent values found for $2 < d < 4$, which can nevertheless be determined exactly. The reason for this is the $|\mathbf{q}|^{d-2}$ -term in the Gaussian action, Eq. (7). It reflects the fact that in an interacting electron system with quenched disorder, static correlations between spins do not fall off exponentially with distance, but only algebraically like $r^{-(2d-2)}$. This leads to a long-range interaction that falls off like $1/r^{2d-2}$, see Eq. (7). The critical behavior of *classical* Heisenberg magnets with such a long-range interaction has been studied before [11].

We now turn to the T -dependences of the specific heat, c_V , and the magnetization. Let us first consider the former. We expand the free energy functional, Eq. (5), about the expectation value, m , of $\mathbf{M}$ to second order, and then perform the Gaussian integral to obtain the partition function. The free energy is obtained as the sum of a mean-field contribution given by $\Phi[m]$, and a fluctuation contribution given by the Gaussian integral. The latter yields the leading nonanalytic term in the free energy. We find [5] that effectively H and T have the same scale dimension, viz. $d(= z)$, and that at $t = 0$ there is a logarithmic T -dependence of γ_V for *all* $2 < d < 4$. These are the logarithmic corrections to scaling mentioned above. If we put the t -dependence back in, we obtain that the scale dependence of γ_V is given by Eq. (4).

For the magnetization, the situation is different compared to the specific heat, in that the leading T -dependence is given by the mean-field or saddle-point contribution to the free energy. We calculate the temperature corrections to the equation of state, Eq. (2a), and find that for $m \gg T$ (in suitable units), $m^{d/2}$ in Eq. (2a) will be replaced by $m^{d/2}[1 + \text{const} \times T/m + \dots]$, while for $m \ll T$, t is replaced by $t + T^{1/2\nu}$. The effective scale dimension of T in m is therefore 2 (*not* z), and we obtain for m the homogeneity law given by Eq. (3). The appropriate interpretation of the relevant operator T in these equations is that it reflects the crossover from the quantum FP to the classical FP

rather than dynamical scaling. Accordingly, we have written the T -dependence in Eq. (3) in terms of the crossover exponent ϕ .

We finally discuss some further aspects of our results, and their relation to other work. The phase transition described above is very similar to the one discussed in Ref. [12]. In particular, the critical exponents on the disordered side and in the quantum critical region are the same, and Ref. [12] also found logarithmic corrections to scaling. We therefore believe that the quantum phase transition found in Ref. [12], whose physical nature was unclear, was the ferromagnetic transition. Also note that throughout we have studied what amounts to the average effects of disorder; the coefficients in our LGW functional are disorder averaged correlation functions. In addition, fluctuation effects appear, e.g. in the form of a random mass term at $O(\mathbf{M}^4)$. The coefficient of this term is the disorder average of the square of the unaveraged correlation function $\chi^{(2)}$. This term is irrelevant by power counting with respect to our FP for all d except $d = 4$, where it is marginal, and all other fluctuation terms are also irrelevant [5].

Sachdev [13] has performed a very general scaling analysis of quantum phase transitions with conserved order parameters. Some of his results do not directly apply to our problem because the coefficient v in Eq. (8) acts as a dangerous irrelevant variable (DIV). For instance, it follows from our Eq. (3) that the Wilson ratio, $W = (m/H)/(c_V/T)$, diverges at criticality rather than being a universal number. The reason for this breakdown of general scaling is the flexibility displayed by DIV in modifying the scaling behavior of various quantities: Not only can a particular irrelevant variable be dangerous for some observables but not for others, it can also affect different arguments of a given scaling function in different ways. Here, the DIV v affects the magnetization differently than the specific heat coefficient, and in the latter it changes the effective scale dimension of H (from $(3d - 2)/2$ to d in $2 < d < 4$), but not that of T .

We gratefully acknowledge discussions with Subir Sachdev and W.W. Warren. This work was supported by the NSF under grant numbers DMR-92-09879, DMR-92-17496, and DMR-95-10185.

-
- [1] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1165 (1976).
 - [2] A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C **7**, 1671 (1974); J. Chayes, L. Chayes, D. S. Fisher, and T. Spencer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2999 (1986).
 - [3] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 7183 (1993), and S. Sachdev, A. V. Chubukov, and A. Sokol, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 14874 (1995) have mentioned the instability of any Hertz-type fixed point against disorder.
 - [4] F. J. Wegner, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, vol.6, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (Academic, New York 1976).
 - [5] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, unpublished.
 - [6] See, e.g., S. K. Ma, *Modern Theory of Critical Phenomena*, (Benjamin, Reading, MA 1976).
 - [7] N. F. Mott, *Metal-Insulator Transitions* (Taylor & Francis, London 1990), ch.3.11.
 - [8] For a discussion of metal-insulator transitions in this system see, e.g., D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 261 (1994).
 - [9] See, e.g., D. Forster, *Hydrodynamic Fluctuations, Broken Symmetry, and Correlation Functions*, Benjamin (Reading, MA 1975).
 - [10] This nonanalytic $\mathbf{q}$ -dependence is a consequence of the diffusive nature of spin transport, and is easily derived in perturbation theory, see Ref. [5].
 - [11] M. E. Fisher, S.-K. Ma, and B. G. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 917 (1972).
 - [12] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 3187 (1992).
 - [13] S. Sachdev, Z. Phys. B **94**, 469 (1994).